

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/736,076 | 12/16/2003 | Kaoru Irie | Q78494 | 4257 | |
| 23373 | 7590 06/09/2005 | | EXAM | INER | |
| | MION, PLLC | KIM, EUGENE LEE | | | |
| 2100 PENNS SUITE 800 | YLVANIA AVENUE, 1 | N.W. | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | 3721 | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _ | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 10/736,076 | IRIE ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Gene L. Kim | 3721 | | | | | |
| е | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | | | | | | |
| on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of bwing replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) noce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following | | | | | | | |
| t€ | e of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| F | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. In | | | | |
| | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | | | | | | |
| 7 | 06.07(f). | | | | | | |
| e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as er than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, | | | | | | | |
| |). | to of the man rejection, t | over it unitely mee, | | | | |
| apliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since d within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | |
| , but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because onsideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| ow); etter form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | | |
| a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | |
| | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (| (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | |
|)) | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended. | l be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ut before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered nd sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and | | | | | | | |
| ~ | a a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be | | | | | | |

| Advisory Action | 10/736,076 IRIE ET AL. | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Gene L. Kim | 3721 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>27 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further composed (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belomous) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a second content of the proposed content of th | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); ducing or simplifying | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | Alarah Chadana da | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | l be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Examiner maintains position set forth in the previous offi Furthermore, the claim does not preclude any folding be | ce action. Examiner is construing fore the folding initation part. There | the claims in a broad e is subsequent foldir | context. | | | | |
| of the initiation part with element 247. Since there is subpressing of the workpiece, the primary reference reads of the workpiece, the primary reference reads of the workpiece. | on the currently recited claims | | ı as during the | | | | |
| iz i i isone ine anacheo minomalion i liscositte sigiementist i | IE I. JADOUD DI PILISTAANI MADARNI | mrs i | | | | | |

13. Other: As per applicants request, the receipt of the foreign priority document is acknowldeged.

PRIMARY EXAMINER